

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,772	07/07/2000	Hans Kroner	GR 99 P 2263	7415
75	90 02/27/2004		EXAMINER	
Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.			TRAN, TUAN A	
P.O. Box 2480 Hollywood, FL	33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• ,			2682	G
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/611,772	KRONER, HANS				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan A Tran	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	February 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light specified.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 1. Claims 1-14, 21-22 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Strat et al. (6,134,220).

Regarding claims 1-3, 7, 10-11 and 30, Le Strat discloses a method and apparatus for allocating a transmission capacity to connections in a radio communication system wherein the radio communication system is a mobile radio system (See fig. 1), comprising: allocating a transmission rate to a connection established via a radio communication interface between a base transceiver station and a subscriber station in at least one of downlink and uplink directions in dependence of signal quality comprising: interference situation at a location of the subscriber station in a radio cell of the base transceiver station, wherein the interference situation comprises intracell interference; a distance between the subscriber station and the base transceiver station; the power of the received signal; bit error rate; signal to noise ratio; estimate of the impulse response; a current load in a radio cell of the base transceiver station, ect. (See figs. 1-2 and Abstract, col. 3 line 38 to col. 7 line 55, col. 9 line 64 to col. 10 line 58, col. 11 lines 11-45). However, Le Strat does not mention that allocating

Art Unit: 2682

a transmission rate to a connection established via a radio communication interface between a base transceiver station and a subscriber station in dependence of a connection-specific path loss of the radio communication interface. Official notice has been taken that path loss is one of the many well known parameters that have been used to evaluate signal quality and the derivation of connection-specific path loss of the radio communication interface from other signal quality criterions such as the power of the received signal and the distance between the subscriber station and the base transceiver station is common in the art; therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included path loss, as an parameter of the signal quality taken into consideration along with other parameters as suggested by Le Strat, to allocate transmission rate for the advantage of expanding the application of the system to various parameters to accurately refine the analysis.

Claim 32 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 1, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 4-6, Le Strat further discloses the step of providing a variable transmission rate for transmitting at least one service with the connection wherein non-real-time service and real-time service as the at least one service and carrying out an adaptive coding (See col. 11 lines 17-55).

Regarding claims 8-9, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Le Strat does not mention the step of varying the transmission rate in dependence of a relative transmitter power or an absolute transmitter power of the connection. Since Le Strat does suggest the step of varying the transmission rate in dependence of power of the received signal, load and interference (See col. 6 lines 34-54) and the relationship

Art Unit: 2682

between the load interference and transmission power including relative or absolute transmitter power are common in the art, therefore it would be obvious to take relative or absolute transmitter power into consideration in allocating transmission rate for the advantage of expanding the application of the system to various parameters to accurately refine the analysis.

Regarding claims 12-14 and 27, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Le Strat not mention the step of carrying out a subscriber separation in a radio communication system in accordance with a CDMA method comprising the step of using orthogonal spreading codes in at least one of downlink or uplink directions.

CDMA is a well-known modulation skim in the art wherein the transmission rate being defined by respective spreading codes (or orthogonal spreading codes) and inherently respective spreading factors, and a single wideband is used for all users in the downlink direction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method and system as disclosed by Le Strat by utilizing CDMA for the advantage of expanding the capability of the system to various modulation skims as well as reducing co-channel interference in communications between base stations and mobile stations.

Regarding claim 21, Le Strat disclose as cited in claim 1. However, Le Strat does not mention the step of using path loss measurements for allocating the transmission rate, carried out by the subscriber station, for handover purpose. Handover process takes place very often in the mobile communication system, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2682

invention was made to apply the Le Strat's suggestion in allocating the transmission rate in dependence of path loss measurements, carried out by the subscriber station, for the handover purpose in order to maintain quality of service throughout the call.

Regarding claim 22, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1. However, they do not mention the step of allocating the transmission rate with an overload control function based on the path loss measurements. Since the inter-relationship between load, interference and path loss is well known in the art; therefore it would be obvious to establish the step of allocating the transmission rate with the overload control function based on path loss measurements in order to expand the capability of the system, as disclosed by Le Strat to various parameters to accurately refine the analysis.

Regarding claim 28, Le Strat further discloses the step of carrying out a joint detection method at a reception end in at least one of downlink and uplink directions (See Abstract and col. 9 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 29, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1, but Le Strat does not mention organizing the radio communication interface in accordance with a TDD method. TDD method, wherein transmissions in a downlink direction and in an uplink direction at separate times in a same frequency band, is a well-known modulation skim in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the system as disclosed by Le Strat utilized TDD for the advantage of extending the applications of the system.

Regarding claim 31, Le Strat disclose as cited in claim 1, but Le Strat does mention providing the radio communication system as a wireless subscriber line

Art Unit: 2682

system. Wireless subscriber line system is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the system as disclosed by Le Strat structured as a wireless subscriber line system in order to provide different services to users.

2. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Strat et al. (6,134,220) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Johansson et al. (6,473,399) and Rathonyi et al. (6,359,877).

Regarding claims 15-20, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Le Strat does not mention the step of allocating the long-term transmission rate by using a Transport Format Set, selected by the MAC layer, of the configuration/reconfiguration, restriction procedures and utilization-level and connection-acceptance control function of the RRC layer. Johansson teaches the same radio communication system as disclosed by Le Strat wherein the MAC layer selects Transport Format Set in accordance to the control of RRC layer (See figs. 3-4 and col. 5 line 66 to col. 6 line 41), and wherein the control of the RRC is defined by the configuration/reconfiguration, restriction procedures and utilization-level and connection-acceptance control function and TF (Transport Formats) is defined by the long-term transmission rate as disclosed by Rathonyi (See col. 3 lines 17-35, col. 5 lines 48-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Johansson & Rathonyi in modifying the system as disclosed by Le Strat by utilizing the protocol layers for the advantage of achieving interconnectivity between

Art Unit: 2682

peer entities residing within mobile stations and cellular switching systems with high QoS (quality of service).

3. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Strat et al. (6,134,220) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Pehrson (6,339,705).

Regarding claim 23, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Le Strat fails to show signaling a transmitter power for a carrier of the base transceiver station to a RNC via an lub interface. Pehrson discloses signaling a transmitter power for a carrier of the base transceiver station to a RNC via an lub interface (See fig. 1 and col. 1 lines 24-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modified the system as disclosed by Le Strat by Pehrson in order to control transmission power properly.

Regarding claim 24, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Le Strat fails to show signaling a transmitter power for a carrier of the base transceiver station to a RNC via an lub interface by adding an appropriate field within an lub/lur user frame protocol. Adding an appropriate field within an lub/lur user frame protocol is a well known technique in the art, therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to apply this technique in order to rout the control signaling messages properly within cellular switching systems.

Regarding claims 25-26, Le Strat discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Le Strat fails to show signaling a transmitter power for a carrier of the base transceiver

Art Unit: 2682

station to a RNC via an lub interface by using independent periodic signaling message

Page 8

or event-controlled signaling message. Pehrson discloses signaling a transmitter power

for a carrier of the base transceiver station to a RNC via an lub interface (See fig. 1 and

col. 1 lines 24-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to modified the system as disclosed by Le Strat

and further using independent periodic signaling message or event-controlled signaling

message as intended use in order to extend the capability of the system as well as to

control transmission power properly.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Ranta (6,339,697) discloses setting service level in digital communication

system.

Zehavi (6,496,543) discloses method and apparatus for providing high-

speed data communication in a cellular environment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 605- 4255**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tuan Tran

AU 2682

VIVIAN CHIN PERVICACIV DATENT EVANU

DUPERVISITION PARENT EXAMINER

2/23/04

Art Unit: 2682

Page 10